

1. Defendants Do Won Chang's and Jin Sook Chang's motion to dismiss is **GRANTED** with prejudice.

2. Defendant Fashion 21, Inc.'s motion to dismiss (which covered all of the claims alleged against Fashion 21, Inc. except for the First Claim for Relief) is GRANTED with prejudice.

3. Plaistiffs' motion to dismiss, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 1215/(1), IS

GRANTED without prejudice with respect to Plaintiffs' First Claim for Relief (as set forth in ¶¶ 98-122 of the Complaint).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 13, 2002

Hon. Manuel Real United States District Judge

Respectfully submitted by:

ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN LEGAL CENTER

Dated: March ______, 2002

By: Julie A. Su

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

tase 2:01-cv-09487-R-VBK Document 89 Filed 03/13/02 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:67

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California by a member of the State Bar of California at whose direction this service is made. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action.

On March 8, 2002, I served the foregoing document described as [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS FASHION 21, INC.'S, DO WON CHANG'S, AND JIN SOOK CHANG'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CAUSE OF ACTION by U.S. MAIL by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed to the following:

Wayne S. Flick, Esq.
Robin D. Dal Soglio, Esq.
Antonio N. Luti, Esq.
Brian T. Glennon, Esq.
LATHAM & WATKINS
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, CA 90071

The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am readily familiar with our office's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day in the ordinary course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$, 2002 at Los Angeles, California.

CHRISTINA N. CHUNG